

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/836,674	04/16/2001	Sven Lindfors	SEPP11.001AUS	9836	
20995 75	590 08/23/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
KNOBBE MA 2040 MAIN ST	ARTENS OLSON &	SONG, MATTHEW J			
FOURTEENTH			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
IRVINE, CA	92614		1765		

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	/ 1/			
Advisory Action	09/836,674	LINDFORS, SVEN				
,, ,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Matthew J Song	1765				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addi	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 21 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date o						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE steep which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 136(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or (e extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in			
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			•			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	•					
2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) \Box they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the						
issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment						
canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5, 7-9, 11-13, 16-18, 20-26 and</u>	<u>36</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			!			
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						
	ગ્રાફ	NADINE G. NOT PERVISORY PATEN	RTON TEXAMINER			
	M	, 9				

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 609/836,674

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claims 1 and 36 recite a new limitation of "said pre-reaction chamber being serially aligned downstream only with said reaction chamber". Also, claim 19 also recites a new limitation of "removing said removable medium from said reaction chamber". The new limitations would require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments are directed to the amendment, which was not entered.